INTRODUCTION:
Oral appliance (OA) therapy is often an effective alternative to continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). However, OA treatment efficacy varies and is often poorly tolerated in people with high nasal resistance. A new OA therapy device that incorporates an opening to the oral cavity (Oventus, O₂Vent T) allows breathing through the device airway, which may reduce the high inspiratory pressures required to drive air through the pharynx in people with nasal obstruction. The goals of the current study were to: 1) assess pharyngeal pressure swings during sleep with and without the OA therapy device and 2) determine the effect of the device on the CPAP requirements to minimize pharyngeal pressure swings and abolish residual events.

METHODS:
Four individuals were studied overnight in the sleep physiology laboratory (3 male; age: 43-62 yrs, BMI: 28-33 kg/m²). In addition to standard polysomnography, subjects were fitted with a nasal CPAP mask and pneumotachograph to quantify airflow. Choanal pressure (Pcho) and epiglottic pressure (Pepi) were measured using pressure transducer-tipped catheters. Nasal resistance during quiet nasal breathing awake ([Pmask-Pcho]/flow@200ml/s). Nasal CPAP was carefully titrated during NREM sleep to determine “therapeutic CPAP” level. Participants were studied under the following conditions during supine NREM sleep: 1) no OA and no CPAP (baseline), 2) OA only, 3) CPAP only, and 4) OA and CPAP combination therapy. The degree of mandibular advancement with the OA therapy device was sub-optimal to allow expression of residual events that required combination OA and CPAP therapy. The apnea/hypopnea index (AHI) and nadir Pepi swings were determined during each condition. CPAP levels with OA therapy to achieve nadir Pepi values equivalent with therapeutic CPAP without the OA was also determined.

RESULTS:
Awake nasal resistance ranged between 2.8 and 20.6 cmH₂O/ml/s (12.3±8.2 cmH₂O/ml/s, mean±SD). 3/4 participants had high nasal resistance (>3 cmH₂O/ml/s). Baseline AHI was 37±35 events/hr, which decreased with OA therapy to 8±10 events/hr. Average nadir Pepi swings during baseline NREM sleep were -8.5±2.5 cmH₂O, falling to -4.1±1.6 cmH₂O with OA therapy. The therapeutic CPAP level required to abolish respiratory events during NREM sleep without OA therapy was 6.8±2.4 cmH₂O with corresponding nadir Pepi swings of -4.0±2.6 cmH₂O. With OA and CPAP combination therapy, a CPAP level of 2.3±0.9 cmH₂O abolished respiratory events and resulted in further reduction of the nadir Pepi swings to -2.7±1.1 cmH₂O.

CONCLUSIONS:
The oral appliance device with built in airway reduces pharyngeal pressure swings and the CPAP requirements necessary to achieve stable breathing during sleep. These options may be viable alternatives for the treatment of OSA in people with high nasal resistance.
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Introduction

Oral appliance therapy is often an effective alternative to continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). However, oral appliance treatment efficacy varies and is often poorly tolerated in people with high nasal resistance. A new oral appliance device that incorporates an opening to the oral cavity (Oventus O2Vent T) allows breathing through the device airway. This may reduce high inspiratory pressures required to drive air through the pharynx in people with nasal obstruction.

Aims

To determine: 1) pharyngeal pressure swings during sleep with and without the oral appliance therapy device and 2) the effect of the device on the CPAP requirements to minimize pharyngeal pressure swings and abolish residual respiratory events.

Methods

Participants and Study Design

• 4 individuals with suspected sleep-disordered breathing [3 male, 1 female; age: 43-62 yrs, BMI: 28-33 kg/m2]

Nasal Resistance (awake)

• Measured awake during quiet breathing supine

Split Night Study

• Zopiclone (7.5mg) administered prior to sleep

No OA + CPAP

• Oral appliance (OA) with built-in airway

OA Hi + CPAP

• Airway advanced to sub-optimal level (~50%) leaving residual events to assess OA + CPAP combination therapy

OA Airway Closed

• Therapeut applied to OA device airway to maintain CPAP

OA Airway Open

• Pharyngeal pressure swings were reduced with OA therapy, and were lowest during OA airway open, i.e. ~52% decrease OA (open) vs. No OA (A). The reduction in pharyngeal pressure swings during OA airway open was similar to CPAP alone (B, No OA condition). When combined with CPAP, OA therapy decreased pharyngeal pressure swings further, i.e. ~66% decrease when combined with OA therapy airway open.

Results

Between oral appliance (OA) conditions and CPAP levels, lower minimum CPAP requirements were observed with OA airway open: 0% OA (open) vs. No OA (open) (C). Similarly, CPAP requirements were reduced by ~66% when combined with OA therapy airway open.

Summary and Conclusion

During sleep, the oral appliance with built-in airway: 1) Pharyngeal pressure swings

2) CPAP level required to abolish respiratory events & achieve stable breathing

The oral appliance device alone or combined with CPAP may be viable alternatives for the treatment of OSA in people with high nasal resistance.
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